

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT HOUSE
MIDDLE DISTRICT COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA

IRVING MURRAY (PROSE)
(PLAINTIFF)

FILED
SCRANTON

JUL 02 2018

VS.

JOHN E. WETZEL (DEFENDANTS)
PER Amy DEPUTY CLERK

CIVIL ACTION

No. 3:17-CV-0491

(JUDGE - CONABOY)

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

FILED: 6/29/2018
151 Irving Murray

PLAINTIFF'S THIRD REQUEST FOR ORDER
FOR INJUNCTION ORDER COMBINED WITH
MEMORANDUM BRIEF IN SUPPORT
PURSUANT TO RULE 65(2)(b) OF FED. R. CIV. P.

upon Plaintiff's second injunction and TRO.,
supportive Declarations, and exhibits and the
realities whereas Honorable judge Robert D. Marani clearly
stated in a similar case in granting the motion for
Preliminary injunction, Judge Marani observed that the
"realities of civil litigation makes it likely that waiting
for resolution at trial will prolong any plaintiff's suffering
for a significant period of time and result in an overall
deterioration of his health See Abu-Jamal v. Wetzel
U.S.D.C. (M.D. Penn), Case No. 3:16-cv-02000 RDM; 2017
U.S. Dist. LEXIS 368. And as evidenced Plaintiff's
Irving Murray used hereto and other exhibits as undisputed
facts attach herewith mentioned medical staff's names
the remaining Defendant who continues to retaliate
against plaintiff due to them being Defendants in his suit
Plaintiff is being denied all medical care by PA-C Russell Miller
and CHCA John Steinhart also being Harassed by all remaining
Defendants. Also Denied incoming Legal Mail from the PA,
Law project attorneys and Lewisburg Law Project Attorneys
AS well as Bret D. Grote, Esq. Plaintiff's mail is subject
to being confiscated Read/censored etc. See New Brief in
support and exhibits (A-E)

retaliation comes in many different forms, and the memorandum and Brief combined herewith, IT IS ORDERED; that the aboved and below remaining Defendants and their predecessors acting in concert with them and the SCI Mahanoy named herein: John Steinhart, Russell Miller (PA-C) Brenda Houser (RN/ICN) Dr. Paul Noel, Dr. Jay Cowan, Kevin Kellner, Business office Mgr. T. Kuzo, Security office, Mailroom Supl F. Walter, Supt. T. Delbalso, et. al. correctcare solutions, John E. Wetzel, et al. Kerri Moore (PA-C) Nancy Palmiaiano (PA-C) Jennifer Williams, E. Everding (LPM) (PSS) Ambler-voelker, Dr. Robert J. Marsh, and all other entities or persons directly and indirectly involved; Show Cause in room _____ of the United States District Court House, Middle District of Pennsylvania. William J. Nealon Federal Building & United States Courthouse, 235 North Washington Ave. Post Office Box- 1148, Scranton, Penna. 18501-1148

On The _____ day of _____, 20____, at _____ O'clock (AM/PM) or as soon as counsel may be heard, why an Preliminary Injunction on retaliation and discrimination should not issue pursuant to rule 65(a)(b) of the Fed. R. Civ. P. enjoining all the remaining defendants, their successors, in office agents, and apparent agents, employees, and all other persons directly and indirectly acting in concert, from:

1. Denying Plaintiff life saving Anti-viral drugs for his hepatitis C
2. Retaliation in many different forms, Harassment discrimination.
3. From denying Plaintiff medications for his chronic skin condition.

4. From Denying him the standard care and charging him for chronic Sickcall from threats to do bodily harm to plaintiff placing plaintiff in the RHO/DTU with bogus misconducts because of this suit and stop Denying Plaintiff DAAD'S for his Hepatitis C painful disease. and stop the use of the Discriminatory Hepatitis-C Interim Protocol and the state of Pennsylvania's Risk-Stratification Policies.

5. Plaintiff request that this be a Permanent Injunction to cover any and all Halfway Houses he may be repared to that's under the Jurisdiction of the Department of Corrections in The state of Pennsylvania. AS this shall be deemed good and Sufficient due to the nature and the seriousness circumstances and that If the Plaintiff is paroled to a Community Corr. Center that the United States Marshal's office Pick him up from the Community Corr. Center to transport plaintiff to any scheduled Injunction hearing and/or Pre-trial Conference, and Such Sufficient Services thereof, and enter judgment in Plaintiff's favor WHEREFORE, it is respectfully requested that this properly filed Third Request for order for Injunction combined with factual Memorandum and Brief in support be considered and taken very seriously by this court against all remaining defendants
Thank You very much Respectfully Submitted,

Dated: / / 2018, 151

Dated BY THE CLERK OF COURT / /

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGES' SIGNATURE ABOVE